

**These Minutes are edited for Data Protection purposes because in effect putting them on the Internet is publishing them. An unedited paper copy is available on request.**

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF THE MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF KILLARNEY TO CONSIDER AND ADOPT A DRAFT BUDGETARY PLAN FOR THE MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF KILLARNEY FOR 2016 HELD IN THE TOWN HALL, KILLARNEY ON WEDNESDAY 21<sup>st</sup> OCTOBER, 2015.**

**MIONTUAIRISCÍ DE CHRUINNIÚ CHOMHALTAÍ CHEANTAR BARDASACH CHILL ÁIRNE CHUN DRÉACHT-BHUISÉAD A MHEAS, AGUS CHUN GLACADH LEIS, DO CHEANTAR BARDASACH CHILL ÁIRNE DO 2016, MAR A BHÍ I HALLA AN BAILE CHILL ÁIRNE AR AN CEADAIOIN 21ú DEIREADH FÓMHAR, 2015.**

### **PRESENT/I LÁTHAIR**

#### **Councillors/Comhairleoirí**

**Cllr. B. Cronin  
Cllr. J.J. Culloty  
Cllr. M. Gleeson  
Cllr. D. Grady**

**Cllr. D. Healy-Rae  
Cllr. N. Kelleher  
Cllr. B. O'Connell  
Cllr. J. Sheahan**

#### **In attendance/i láthair**

<b>Ms. A McAllen</b>	<b>Delegated Executive for the MD of Killarney</b>
<b>Mr. G. O'Brien</b>	<b>Meetings Administrator</b>
<b>Mr. J. McCarthy</b>	<b>AO Finance</b>
<b>Mr. D. Doyle</b>	<b>Senior Engineer</b>
<b>Mr. P. Neary</b>	<b>Senior Executive Engineer</b>
<b>Ms. B. Mulryan</b>	<b>EE Killarney</b>
<b>Mr. K. O'Halloran</b>	<b>AE Killarney</b>
<b>Ms. M Galvin</b>	<b>CO Corporate Support</b>

**The meeting commenced at 4.30pm.**

The Cathaoirleach, Cllr. B O'Connell took the Chair.

**Ms. A. McAllen read the following report from the Chief Executive into the record of the meeting:**

#### **15.10.21.01 Draft Budgetary Plan for the Municipal District of Killarney for 2016**

##### **1. Background**

Section 102 (4A)(a) of the Local Government Act 2001 (as amended by the Local Government Reform Act 2014) provides that the Chief Executive shall consult the Municipal District Members for each Municipal District in the local

authority's functional area in the preparation of a draft local authority budget and, for that purpose, a draft budgetary plan for the municipal district shall be prepared under the direction of the Chief Executive and submitted for consideration by the Members of each Municipal District. The purpose of a draft budgetary plan is to provide each Municipal District with an opportunity to allocate its portion of the General Municipal Allocation according to its own priorities.

The Draft Budgetary Plan sets out how any General Municipal District Allocation (GMA) allocated to the Municipal District will be spent. The definition of the GMA is provided for in the Local Government (Financial and Audit Procedures) Regulations, 2014, and is the name given to the discretionary funding which is made available to municipal district members for allocation in the draft budgetary plan. The amount an authority can provide by way of a GMA is dependent on the total level of income available to it and the non-discretionary costs that must be met as a first call on that income, including at municipal district level. The Chief Executive must consider the resource needs of the local authority in determining the overall level of the GMA. The GMA is also considered in context of the Budget Strategy discussion with the CPG and other Budget Strategy decisions. The estimate of the non-discretionary costs of the authority must also be taken into account.

Consideration on the main, strategic non-discretionary expenditure of the Council will form part of the Statutory Budget Meeting to take place in November.

Guidance issued from DOECLG provides that the total amount of GMA provided must be allocated by the Chief Executive to each municipal district in a manner that is fair and equitable. The Chief Executive must consider the resource needs; where appropriate, the population; and should make an allocation that is transparent, just, not arbitrary, and provides a minimum level of discretionary allocation to all districts.

## **2. Guiding Principles – General Municipal District Allocation**

In its consideration of the Budget Strategy for 2016 in September the decision of Council to retain Local Property Tax for 2016 has enabled the retention of the overall General Municipal Allocation.

The total allocation for the General Municipal Allocation for 2016 is " 1.7mn across the four Municipal Districts in the County. This is a very significant allocation based on the overall Budget and the level of discretionary expenditure available to the Council.

It must be noted that there are no additional resources available to the Council for the General Municipal Allocation and accordingly the allocation is made from our existing Budgets.

The following guiding principles have been applied in determining the level of General Municipal Allocation:

- Councillor Specific Municipal District Operations Fund forms part of the Municipal District Allocation. Councillors allocations have been maintained at "29,000 per Council Member for 2016. This will continue to be a Discretionary Fund for allocation by Members in a flexible manner according to their own priorities across all areas of work operations within the Municipal District, as outlined in the scheme agreed in 2015.
- In addition, a total Municipal District Fund of "750,000 will be made available from the Council's Budget across the four Municipal District Areas. It is intended that the current Municipal District Fund Scheme will be further reviewed and developed in conjunction with the relevant Strategic Policy Committee, which will provide guidance on the allocation of funding in both these areas and will provide meaningful discretion to the Municipal Districts in its allocation.
- It is anticipated that the experience gained through the introductory year will provide guidance for further development of the scheme. For example, consideration of allocation of funding throughout the Municipal Districts for 2016 may include areas such as LEADER Co-funding, Tidy Towns Funding, Municipal District Town & Village Enhancement, Events and Festivals, etc.
- As discussed during the recent Council Meeting and at CPG an assessment of the Municipal District Fund Allocation for each Municipal District for 2016 has necessitated an adjustment to acknowledge the peripherality of two of the Municipal Districts in particular.

It is vital, however, that overall income levels to the county ensure that these guiding principles are successful, as the General Municipal District Allocation is defined as discretionary expenditure within the Budget.

### **3. General Municipal Allocation – Killarney Municipal District**

The General Municipal Allocation for Killarney Municipal District for 2016 is as follows:

<b>Total General Municipal Allocation for Killarney Municipal District for 2016, this is composed of :</b>	<b>€479,000</b>
Councillor Specific Municipal District Operations Fund	" 232,000
Municipal District Discretionary Amenity / Recreation / Community Support Fund	" 247,000

#### **4. Conclusion**

This Draft Budgetary Plan sets out the General Municipal Allocation for 2016 and the principles governing its proposed application. This Plan must be considered by Members at a Meeting of the Municipal District Members, and in accordance with Regulations, this Meeting must conclude no later than 10 days prior to the local authority budget meeting. There is no provision for the adjournment of this meeting. Following consideration of the Draft Budgetary Plan the Municipal District Members shall by resolution adopt the Draft Budgetary Plan, with or without amendment. The making of amendments is a reserved function.

The Chief Executive shall take account of any budgetary plan adopted by the Municipal District Members in preparing the Draft Local Authority Budget for 2016. If the members of any Municipal District do not adopt a draft budgetary plan, the Chief Executive may take account of the draft budgetary plan as presented to members of that Municipal District, without amendment.

Following adoption of the Council Budget for 2016, a Schedule of Proposed Works of Maintenance and Repair to be carried out during the financial year in each Municipal District is prepared as soon as possible under the direction of the Chief Executive, having regard to available resources. The draft budgetary plans of the Municipal Districts do not include the main strategic non-discretionary expenditure of the Local Authority, the spending of which will be prioritised by the Members as part of the development of a schedule of municipal district works after the budget has been adopted.

The Schedule of Municipal District Works shall be considered by the Municipal District Members concerned and be adopted by resolution, with or without amendment by it. In making an amendment the Municipal District Members shall have due regard to the Council budget adopted.

I recommend adoption of the General Municipal Allocation for Killarney Municipal District for 2016 of " 479,000. This fund represents a meaningful discretionary fund for allocation by Members in accordance with the priorities of the District and provides maximum flexibility to meet the operation and community/recreation/amenity needs of the area for the coming year.

Cllr. D. Healy-Rae referred to the Emergency Hardship Scheme. He said that at the Killarney Municipal District Meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> July 2015, it was agreed that the Scheme would be referred to the Full Council Meeting. This Scheme was mentioned at the October Council Meeting. It now appeared that the Scheme was reverting back to the Municipal District. He said that the Scheme would not work as a contribution of " 2,000 from each of the 8 Members meant that the total fund available would be " 16,000. He said that this was not sufficient money to repair a road.

Cllr. D. Grady agreed that " 16,000 was not adequate for repairs under the Emergency Hardship Scheme. He referred to the " 247,000 allocated to Killarney under the Municipal District Discretionary Amenity / Recreation / Community Support Fund. He noted that funding was reduced by " 12,000 on the previous year and he asked why was there a reduction. The Killarney area should not be reduced as a lot of rates for the County are received from the this Municipal District.

Cllr. J.J. Culloty said that each Councillor should contribute " 2,500 to the Hardship Scheme which would equate to a total of " 20,000 and this would be far more beneficial to the area.

Cllr. M. Gleeson stated the big risk with contributing " 2,000 to a county-wide scheme is that nothing will be done in the Municipal District area. He said that if the Scheme is kept within the Municipal Area, then the Members could guarantee one job would be done in the area otherwise the money may be spent in North Kerry.

Cllr. B. Cronin said that " 66,000 (33 Councillors x " 2,000) was a substantial amount of money. He would be opposed to operating the Scheme on a County-wide basis. After an investment of " 16,000 from the Killarney Councillors, a situation could arise where the area would end up without any road included in the scheme. Every available cent was needed for the Killarney Electoral Area.

Cllr. N. Kelleher referred to the Municipal District Discretionary Amenity / Recreation / Community Support Fund and asked if he could find out what each of the four Municipal Districts had been allocated. Cllr. Kelleher referred to the Chief Executive's letter where it stated *"If the members of any Municipal District do not adopt a draft budgetary plan, the Chief Executive may take account of the draft budgetary plan as presented to members of that Municipal District, without amendment."* and asked for clarification on this.

Cllr. J. Sheahan stated that the money contributed by the Killarney Municipal District for the Hardship Scheme should be spend on roads in the Killarney area.

Mr. D. Doyle stated that the Scheme was adopted by Kerry County Council. " 60,000 was set aside each year and it ended in 2014. In 2015 the Municipal District Work Plans were adopted and it was decided to divide it up into each Municipal District. He said there was no scheme in place at present. Mr. Doyle said that if there was a scheme, then criteria for same was needed. He suggested that the same terms as the previous scheme would apply. He stated that the Council would prioritise applications based on the terms of the scheme. These terms would be decided by the Councillors.

Cllr. B. Cronin asked if the Council could bring the figure up to " 20,000 and the Councillors would contribute " 2,500.

Mr. Doyle said that Kerry County Council were not permitted to allocate funding to private roads.

Cllr. B. Cronin asked that when a decision is made to approve a road for funding, could the names of all eight Councillors be listed in the letter that issues to the applicant. He asked that this be agreed by all the Councillors. This was Proposed by Cllr. M. Gleeson and Seconded by Cllr. J.J. Culloty and agreed by all eight Councillors.

Cllr. D. Healy-Rae said that " 16,000 would not repair any road. He said that roads would usually cost " 30,000. When the scheme was operated previously, the 27 Councillors gave " 2,000 each and the Council contributed " 20,000.

Cllr. M. Gleeson asked what length of road could be surfaced for " 16,000.

Ms. B. Mulryan said that 100m would work out at " 5,000.

Cllr. B. Cronin noted that it would cost approximately " 20,000 for 400 meters of road.

Ms. A. McAllen agreed that the criteria for the scheme would be brought to the next meeting.

Mr. D. Doyle stated that the Council do not advertise the scheme. He said that the Councillors are written to and a closing date is set. The Council then assess the applications and prepare a priority list based on the criteria.

Cllr. J.J. Culloty said that the Scheme should be run on an Municipal District basis. He Proposed that each Councillor contribute " 2,500 towards same.

Cllr. D. Healy-Rae asked if Management decided on the successful applications.

Mr. Doyle said it was, based on the criteria. He agreed to bring the scheme to the next meeting.

Cllr. Cronin asked that all 8 Councillors be listed when writing to the successful applicant.

Ms. A. McAllen said that this would be included in the criteria of the Scheme that would come before the Councillors for adoption.

On the matter of the reduction in the Municipal District Discretionary Amenity / Recreation / Community Support Fund by " 12,000 compared to the previous year, Ms. A. McAllen said that the Chief Executive requested that some adjustment would be made to the Municipal District allocation to acknowledge the peripherality of two of the Municipal Districts in Kerry. As a result, two Municipal Districts have a slight increase and the other two have slightly less. Ms. McAllen confirmed that Listowel Municipal District was one the of the

areas that benefited from an additional " 12,000. Out of respect to the other Municipal Districts she had yet to meet, Ms. McAllen would not confirm their budgets to the Killarney Members. She said that she had maintained the County Municipal District Fund for 2016 at " 750,000.

In relation to the adoption of the Budget, Ms. McAllen said that legislation on the matter was clear. There was no provision for an adjournment of the meeting and if not adopted, the matter is referred back to the full Council for determination as part of the overall budgetary process.

Cllr. D. Grady stated that if Killarney was to get its fair share, then the Municipal District should get " 40,000 more than the other districts, instead, " 12,000 was being taken off Killarney's budget. He stated that a huge amount of money comes out of the towns of Killarney, Castleisland and Rathmore by way of rate payments.

Cllr. J.J. Culloty said that he was disappointed with the reduction of " 12,000. Killarney Town was the biggest rate payer in the County. He asked that something be done to balance this.

Cllr. N. Kelleher Proposed the adoption of the Draft Budgetary Plan and this was Seconded by Cllr. M. Gleeson.

Cllr. D. Healy-Rae said he was concerned with the reduction of " 12,000. He said he received an assurance that Killarney would be looked after. He said that he has met with Tidy Towns members and they have said that they have spotted less activity in the town in the first 12 months since being operated by Kerry County Council. He stated that this was a reflection on the financial side and not a reflection on the employees.

Cllr. B. O'Connell stated that the reduction of " 12,000 was not solely referring to Killarney Town but to Killarney Municipal District as a whole.

Ms. A. McAllen said she was disappointed to hear Cllr. Healy-Rae's comments, in particular, that people were saying the standards in town had dropped. She stated that huge efforts were made by the staff. She stated that Mr. P. Neary and Mr. K. O'Halloran meet with the Tidy Towns Committee on a weekly basis and she would struggle to find another town in Ireland to compare to what is provided in Killarney. She stated that the Tourism bodies are delighted with the Council's commitment. The Council gives to the town in many other ways, rather than just financial.

Cllr. J.J. Culloty stated that from his time in the town he would have to say that in general, people are happy. He agreed that some people would prefer a Town Council but while he was Cathaoirleach, the majority of the feedback was positive.

Cllr. D. Grady stated that we need to make a good place better. It was a great town and area. Progress cannot be made if there are cutbacks. He said the

Council were slipping on a couple of things. Signs were getting grotty and illegal signs were appearing. Engineers were doing the best they could. Cllr. M. Gleeson acknowledged that the South & West Kerry Municipal District were disadvantaged relative to the other Municipal Districts. He referred to the decrease of funding by " 12,000 and said it was a small figure of money. 15 years ago there was a blitz on illegal signage. If we let these signs appear again, we will diminish the town.

Cllr. B. Cronin stated that the loss of the Town Council was a serious concern. He gave his commitment that he would do everything in his power to ensure the status quo remained and financially, Killarney would not be found wanting. He said that tremendous work was being carried out by the staff of Kerry County Council. He could not agree with previous speakers that standards had slipped as he did not see any evidence of this. There are 34,000 people in the Killarney Municipal area. He said there were concerns where the funding is going to slip from and assurances were needed. He stressed the importance of street cleaning in villages and that they don't lose out. He asked for assurances that street cleaning and duty of care would not be affected by the " 12,000 reduction.

Cllr. N. Kelleher said he agreed with the comments of both Cllr. Gleeson and Cllr. Cronin.

Cllr. D. Healy-Rae referred to his earlier comments and said they were not a reflection on Management, the Engineers or staff. He said he appreciated all the staff. People had said to him that the level of activity in the town's roadworks and footpath maintenance had dropped from that of the previous year. Last year the Town Council had carried out a lot of work in the town. Cllr. Healy-Rae said his worry was that people will see this reduction. People were saying we cannot lose any more money in this town. He said that if his comments came across the wrong way, then he was sorry.

Cllr. Gleeson referred to the recent Tidy Towns Awards and mentioned Castleisland and Currow whose points had increased on the previous year. He said that this news lifts other towns and he hoped they would all rise to the same standard.

Ms. A. McAllen confirmed that the Scheme in place for the allocation of the Municipal District Discretionary Amenity / Recreation / Community Support Fund for 2015 will be reviewed and brought back before the Strategic Policy Committee and Members for consideration.

Cllr. N. Kelleher PROPOSED that we the members of the Municipal District of Killarney resolve to adopt the Draft Budgetary Plan for the Municipal District of Killarney for 2016 as presented.

Cllr. M. Gleeson SECONDED the proposal.

A vote was taken which resulted as follows:

**For:** Cllrs. B. Cronin, JJ. Culloty, M. Gleeson, D. Grady, D. Healy-Rae, N. Kelleher, B. O'Connell, J. Sheahan (8)

**Against:** None (0)

**Not Voting:** None (0)

Mr. G. O'Brien declared the proposal to be carried.

Mr. G. O'Brien advised Members that there was a need to hold a Special Municipal District Meeting to consider a number of Section 38 proposals as follows:

- (a) Reprioritisation of Traffic Flow at the High Street Junction in Killarney
- (b) Footpath Widening and Improvement Works at Plunkett Street, Killarney
- (c) Footpath Widening and Improvement Works at High Street, Killarney
- (d) Installation of a Controlled Pedestrian Crossing on the R876 at Fairhill, Killarney

It was agreed that a Special Meeting would be held on Wednesday, 28<sup>th</sup> October 2015 at 9.30am to consider these Section 38 proposals.

### **Any Other Business**

Cllr. B. Cronin addressed the Meeting. He said that he had been speaking with Mr. C. O'Sullivan, Director of Operations, Health and Safety that morning on the following matter. At the October Meeting of Kerry County Council, Cllr. D. Healy-Rae put forward an Emergency Notice of Motion regarding the ongoing roadworks on Muckross Road

On 15<sup>th</sup> September, Cllr. Cronin stated that he had emailed the Corporate Affairs Department seeking approval to bring in a deputation from the residents of Muckross Road to discuss matters arising in relation to the roadworks that were taking place there. He said that this procedure was in line with the Standing Orders. This request came before the Members at the Municipal District Meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> October 2015 and it was approved.

Cllr. Cronin said that the Emergency Motion was allowed to be raised at the October Monthly meeting. He stated that he decided not to challenge it but he said that he would have been within his rights to object. If he had objected, someone would have phoned the residents of Muckross Road to say that Cllr. Cronin had blocked the Motion.

Cllr. Cronin asked Management where do we stand in relation to the statutory set up of Standing Orders in relation to deputations. He asked Mr. G. O'Brien why this Motion was allowed because a deputation from Muckross Road had been approved to attend the December Meeting. Cllr. Cronin said he was not prepared to accept this any more. He said he wouldn't be undermined in such a fashion. He asked if there was any point in abiding by the Standing Orders. He said that the Emergency Notice of Motion was nothing more than a PR stunt to get ahead of his deputation and to get the headlines. This should not have been tolerated.

Cllr. Cronin stated that people in Muckross Road were told on Sunday night to come into the October Monthly Meeting the next morning because the matter would be raised there.

Cllr. Cronin stated that he always abided and honoured the Standing Orders. He asked for clarity on the procedures involved. He asked Mr. O'Brien to clarify the following: (1) Had Cllr. Cronin approval to bring a deputation into the December MD Meeting, (2) Did he follow procedure laid down and (3) is it on the upcoming Agenda?

Cllr. Cronin asked Mr. D. Doyle for confirmation if the works on Muckross Road had stopped and what was the up-to-date position.

Cllr. Cronin also asked for clarity on the vote / decision to proceed with the Muckross Road works.

Cllr. J.J. Culloty stated that he found himself in an awkward position at the Full Council Meeting held last Monday. He said he met a group of people from Muckross Road. He said he did not know what they were speaking about as Cllr. D. Healy-Rae had organised for them to come in. He said that he didn't think it was good enough for this to happen. He said that it puts the other Councillors at a disadvantage. He agreed with Cllr. Cronin on the matter.

Mr. D. Doyle stated that the works on Muckross Road were going ahead as planned. He stated that on 19<sup>th</sup> September 2014, the scheme was adopted by all eight Councillors. There were no plans to stop the current roadworks. He said there were some delays to the scheme due to cable works being carried out by the ESB.

Cllr. B. Cronin thanked Mr. P. Neary and Mr. K. O'Halloran who had met with the residents and businesses recently to discuss their queries. He thanked them for their time and commitment to speak with them.

Mr. G. O'Brien replied to Cllr. Cronin's queries. He stated that Cllr. Cronin's request for approval for a deputation from the residents of Muckross Road was on the Agenda for the Municipal District Meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> October. This was approved and the deputation are to attend the next MD Meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> December.

Mr. O'Brien then referred to the decision taken by Members at a Special Planning Meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2012 for an upcoming Electoral Area:

*"Cllr. M. Gleeson PROPOSED that when a deputation is approved for an upcoming Electoral Area Meeting no Notice of Motion relating to that matter would be accepted from another councillor for that Electoral Area Meeting or for an upcoming full Council Meeting.*

*Cllr. P.J. Donovan SECONDED this proposal and it was agreed"*

Mr. O'Brien accepted that he had missed the fact that a deputation from Muckross had approval to attend the Killarney MD Meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> December. He pointed out that the Emergency Notice of Motion had not come through the normal submission of Notice of Motion process and not 2 weeks before the date of the meeting. He stated that he only saw the wording of the Emergency Notice of Motion on the Cathaoirleach's desk minutes before it was dealt with at the meeting.

Mr. O'Brien referred to the Standing Orders for Full Council. If a Member comes to the Cathaoirleach with an Emergency Notice of Motion he/she will decide whether it is appropriate to allow the member raise the issue.

Cllr. Cronin said that he accepted Mr. O'Brien's comments. He said he appreciated the confirmation that he had done everything as set out in the Standing Orders. He asked where was the protection. He said that the Standing Orders were set up to protect the Members. He said that he did not want to see anyone being tripped up in such a fashion. Cllr. Cronin stated that he was not going to allow this to go on any longer.

Cllr. M. Gleeson said he acknowledged the honesty of Mr. O'Brien in his reply. He said that what Cllr. Cronin had said was correct. He said there should be a mutual respect in the Chamber for each other. He asked that a copy of his Proposal, as referred to by Mr. O'Brien, be sent to each Councillor.

Cllr. Healy-Rae stated that he didn't set out to fool anyone. He stated that he was called out to Muckross Road the previous week and issues were pointed out to him that needed to be sorted out. He said that he didn't know what grievances Cllr. Cronin had when he didn't put them down in his request for the deputation.

Cllr. Healy-Rae stated that he had phoned Mr. G. O'Brien to enquire what was the procedure for requesting an Emergency Notice of Motion. Mr. O'Brien advised him of the procedure in respect of contacting the Cathaoirleach. He referred to the people from Muckross Road being present at the Full Council Meeting and Cllr. Healy-Rae said that people had asked could they go into the gallery to see what was going on and he said that he thought this was acceptable. Cllr. Healy-Rae said that he told both Mr. O'Brien and Mr. Charlie O'Sullivan what the Notice of Motion referred to. He said that Cllr. Cronin should have specified what the deputation was about and this was not done.

He asked that his name be exonerated and wanted the statements made about him retracted.

Cllr. D. Grady stated that on four occasions he had approached Mr. P. Neary and Mr. K. O'Halloran to see if there was any way that the roadworks on the other half of the Muckross Road could be stopped. He said he was informed by the Engineers that they already had a meeting with the residents. He said everyone can now see that the road is too narrow.

Ms. A. McAllen said that she had read the Standing Orders and believed they were crystal clear. She stated that an internal procedure would be put in place to ensure that this doesn't happen again. She agreed to bring the matter to the attention of the Senior Management Team before the next Municipal District Meeting.

Ms. McAllen suggested to the Members that the deputation could be facilitated at the Special Municipal District Meeting due to be held on 28<sup>th</sup> October instead of waiting until 9<sup>th</sup> December.

Cllr. Cronin said he would appreciate this but was not looking for special treatment.

It was agreed that the deputation would be brought to the meeting on 28<sup>th</sup> October.

Cllr. Healy-Rae said that all future deputations should specify what the issues are as it was not clear to him what Cllr. Cronin's deputation was about.

Cllr. Cronin thanked Mr. O'Brien and Ms. McAllen for clarifying the matters raised and asked that the Standing Orders be adhered to.

The meeting concluded at 6.00pm.

**G. O'Brien**

**G. O'Brien**  
**Meetings Administrator**

**Cllr. B. O'Connell**  
**Cathaoirleach of Killarney M.D.**